# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,122	11/14/2001	Mike Dennis	OAE 306	5951	
23855	7590 07/29/2003				
ROBERT D. VARITZ, P.C.			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
2007 S.E. GRANT STREET PORTLAND, OR 97214			KAVANAUG	H, JOHN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
	1		DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	75				
Office Action Summary		10/003,122	DENNIS ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
İ		Ted Kavanaugh	3728					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondenc address P riod for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2)Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) - Notice of Informal-F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent-Application (PTG					
U.S. Patent and Tro PTO-326 (Rev		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 16					

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122

Art Unit: 3728

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 10, 2003 has been entered.

#### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It has been noted that applicant cited the documents A and B on a PTO-1449. However, applicant should amend the specification accordingly. It is suggested applicant delete "(see attached Document A)" on page 3, line 1 and delete "that described in attached Document B," on page 4, line 7 or other appropriate changes.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6195917 (Dieckhaus).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122

Art Unit: 3728

Dieckhaus teaches an insole comprising an accelerated-rate-sensitive, viscoelastic, non-springy cushioning layer (4; see col. 5, lines 14-33) and a moisture wicking layer (3) including elongated fibres (see col. 4, lines 55-67). The fibres inherently contribute to distributing the load.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dieckhaus '917 in view of [pages 1-7 of PORON 90, The Ultimate in Cushioning. The Ultimate in Performance] and [PORON 4000 Performance Urethanes –90 Series Typical Properties]. The last two references will be referred to as Rogers in the rejection below.

To the extent that one finds the Poron foam of Dieckhaus doesn't have the same properties of applicant claimed invention the following rejection is applied. Rogers teaches an insole made out of the exact foamed Poron material used by applicant, see paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the instant application. Rogers teaches "PORON 90 controlled rebound products can be fabricated and **laminated with other materials** to create unique performance composites", bold face added. It would have been obvious to provide the Poron foamed layer (4) of Dieckhaus with the Poron foamed material, as taught by Rogers, to provide improved cushioning characteristics.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5319867 (Weber) in view of [pages 1-7 of PORON 90, The Ultimate in Cushioning. The Ultimate in Performance] and [PORON 4000 Performance Urethanes –90 Series – Typical Properties]. The last two references will be referred to as Rogers in the rejection below.

Weber teaches a moisture wicking layer (14) having elongated fibres (20,22) and a lower foam-cushioning layer (12) substantially as claimed except for the foam layers having the characteristics as claimed. Rogers teaches an insole made out of the exact foamed Poron material used by applicant, see paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the instant application. Rogers teaches "PORON 90 controlled rebound products can be fabricated and laminated with other materials to create unique performance composites", bold face added. It would have been obvious to replace the foamed layer (12) of Weber with the Poron foamed material, as taught by Rogers, to provide improved cushioning characteristics. The fibres inherently contribute to distributing the load.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed July 10, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant provides a "Test Report" to help evaluate the observed advantages performance of a cushioning insole made in accordance with the present invention.

In response, it is not clear that the "Test Report" has any connection to applicant's invention. Moreover, it is not how this shows any unexpected results.

#### **Conclusion**

Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

9. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9302 and After Finals to (703) 872-9303 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). If the previous Fax numbers are not working use any of the following numbers (703) 305-3579 or (703) 305-3580 or (703) 305-3590. Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account may also use it for filing papers that require a fee. Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email <u>CustomerService3700@uspto.gov</u>.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1244. The examiner can normally be reached on 6AM - 4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached at (703) 308-2672.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication Assignment Branch Certificates of Correction Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 305-8404/8335 Fee Increase Questions

(703) 305-8322 (703) 308-9287 (703) 305-8309 (703) 305-5125 (703) 305-8217

Intellectual Property Questions Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9282 Application/Control Number: 10/003,122

Art Unit: 3728

Terminal Disclaimers

(703) 305-8408

If the information desired is not provided above, or has been changed, please do not call the examiner (this is the latest information provided to him) but the general information help line below.

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page 1-800-786-9199 http://www.uspto.gov/

> Ted Kavanangh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

Page 6

TK July 24, 2003